

AMERICAS



SOLVING DIFFICULT CASES OF THERMAL EXPANSION

Pasadena, TX November 16, 2022 Jamal Jamalyaria, P.E. Flexitallic US, Director of Engineering



Some Phenomena are Hard to Avoid

- Bolted flange bending (flange rotation)



- Differential Thermal Expansion



Hydrotreater Mechanical Outage Extension Feed/Effluent Exchanger Large Refinery, Port Arthur, TX

Exchanger information



- Bolt design stress <40ksi
- B7 Bolting

MT-COMP Rev.3.1.3

- Very Large/High Pressure Vessels
- Original gasket type Kammprofile
- 3-1/2" bolt size creating excessive stress
- Very critical joint with flammable hydrocarbons
- Leaks due to upsets while the emergency shut off

valves close unexpectedly

- Bending moment a suspect (although thick flanges)
- Had to use Furmanite rings to continue the operation,

but was not successful

		1				MI-COM	P Rev.3.1	
	1926	Project: 7	012		Da	te: 19-10-200	7	
	Villa & Bonaldi	Item: 43E-502 A/B			Sh	. 16 of 16	3	
Ì		Calculatio	Calculation Code: ASME VIII-1 A 2006 Par. App.2-App		pp.S			
	Ricengo - Italy	Calcul Da	Calcul Datasheet : Flange shell-tubesheet					
ł								
					Material Shell: SA 387 22 CL2-K21590			
	De							
	' - <u>+</u> +			Flange: SA 336 F22 CL3-K21590				
	R	RC			Bolts: A 193 B7-A194 2H-G41400			
					Lining: AISI 347			
		G G		Gasket: SS 304 Camprofile Flange facing: FLAT-FLAT Botte series: TEMA Tab D5				
				BOIts	senes: TEMA Tab.Do			
		<u> </u>		(Flar	nge 1)			
	DESIGN CONDITIONS							
	D Pressure	1529	Dei	De	- Flance outside diam	83 661	in	
	P - Pressure Pe - External pressure	1536.	Psi	C	- Bolts círcle díam.	77.126	in	
	T - Temperature	800.	Fahr	Go	- Gasket outside diam.	65.354	in	
	Ci - Internal corrosion	-	in	Tf	 Flange thickness 	12.205	in	
4	Ce - External corrosion	-	in in	g0 a1	- Hub lower thickness	2.323	in in	
	Di - Shell inside diam.	62.008	in	ĥ,	- Hub length	3.504	in	
	Ts - Shell thickness	2.323	in	Cfg	- Flange corr. (gask.face)	-	in	
	fv - Shell all stress	19300.29	Psi	Pfg	- Weld deposit (gask face)	0.236	in	
	fvo - Shell all stress (amb)	21405.21	Psi	ff ffo	- Flange allow.stress	19300.29	Psi	
MB	Nb - Bolts number	32	1 ann	Na	- Gasket width	1.299	in	
5	An - Bolt area	8.749	in2	w	- Nubbin width	•	in	
31015	Db - Bolts nom.diameter	3.5	in	m	- Gasket m factor	3.	. .	
or of	fb - Bolts allow stress fba Bolts allow stress (amb)	23000.	Psi Psi	y Rf	- Gasket y tactor - Riser thickness	12500.	PSI	
beug	Nr - Rib width	-	in			0.200		
and	rl - Rib length	-	in	m'	 Rib gasket m factor 	-		
39 CIVI				y'	 Rib gasket y factor 	-	Psi	
g to 1								
	F - Radial load	-	Lbf	м	- Bending moment	-	Lb.in	
15 80	Hri - Gasket ring height (int.)	-	in	Hre	- Gasket ring neight (ext.)	•	in	
ts 10	Bolts area check							
nard	bo = .5*Ng = 0.65 b = .5 * bo1/2 = 0.403 bor = .5*Nr = - br = bor = - Pldi = 16*M/(π^{*} G3) - 4*F/(π^{*} G2) = -			G = Go - 2"Hre - 2"b = 64.5	48			
salec					1000 M 1000 . 1154 1000			
MIM O					Pide = $16^{M}/(\pi^{*}G^{3}) + 4^{*}F/(\pi^{*}G^{2}) = -$			
M	Peqi = Max[P, (P+Pldi)] = 1538.			Peqe	Peqe = Max[Pe, (Pe+Pide)] = 15.			
5 I	$H = .25^{*}\pi^{*}G^{2}$ Peqi =5033013.	$H = .25^{+}\pi^{-}G^{2}$ Peqi =5033013.			$Hp = 2^{n}P^{n}[\pi^{n}D^{n}G^{n}m + Dr^{n}\Pi^{n}m] = 754135.3$			
2	Wm1 = H + Hp = 578/148.			Am)1	$= yy_{111} / 10 = 251.008$			
ġ	$vvm0 = \pi^{-}0^{-}G^{-}y + 0^{-}n^{-}y = 102153$	WmU = $\pi^*b^*G^*y$ + $br^*n^*y^*$ = 1021531.			AmU = VVmU / 100 = 44.413			
3	Am = MAX(Am1, AmU) = 251.608			$AD = ND^{-}AII = 2/9.908 \ge AIII$				
01 MI	Sector 23000	Gasker overroading			$N1 = Ah^*Sho / (2*_*G^*v) = 1.27$			
È	N2 = (785*G2*Pe + Ab*fb) / (2**G*v) = 1.28			Nmin	Nmin = MAX(N1, N2) = 1.28 < Nm			
a pro	$\pi z = (.700 \text{ G}^2 + 6 \text{ G} \text{ m}) / (2 \pi \text{ G})$	· j/ - 1.20			· ····································	7 118		
22								
6 I.								

Service gasket

- Original fabrication gasket
 - Service gasket since 2010 –
 - Gasket installed and hydro-tested at factory
 - Kammprofile 4mm core, 0.5mm graphite both sides
 - 321SS core with graphite face
 - Leaks during thermal cycle events

Events after start up

- Exchanger girth flange develops leak after thermal cycling event
 - First attempt to stop leak was by torquing
 - Torquing is unsuccessful
 - Bolts were initially tensioned and bolt nuts are dry
 - Difficult to torque accurately considering no lubrication and size of bolts
 - Leaking girth flange is clamped
 - Clamp is marginally successful
 - Clamp requires periodic re-pumps
 - Clamp leaks after thermal events or feed rate changes



Gasket Options

- Two gasket designs are installed during outage to test performance.
 - (1) Hybrid Kammprofile inner-ring, spiral wound outer-graphite filler
 - (3) Thick Corrugated Ring with Mica/Graphite/Mica (Picked to achieve some saving as the hybrid gasket was more expensive



Gasket Options

Experienced "blow-out" of thick corrugated gasket during hydro-test.

- Gasket would seep water during ramp up of hydro-test pressure
- Seeps were stopped by re-torquing
- Additional torquing possibly rotated flanges causing them to become nearly metal bound

 Possibly rotated flanges unload the corrugated gasket
- Gasket "blows out" prior to reaching full hydrotest pressure
 - Post mortem revealed gasket filler material separated (slipped) from core and damages (dents) to core are observed





Initial Solution

- Special Kammprofile inner/SW outer
- The inner 0.188" thick Flexpro to act as torque stop
- The outer SW with HT inconel X750 will not be unloaded due to excessive bolt load
- The thin (0.125") outer ring will NOT act as stop
- Not heard from them for a couple of months
- Suddenly ordered super rush delivery of 8 sets
- It turned out that they had used another type gasket which blew out during the hydro test, causing major cost and delay in start up, requiring emergency order for our gaskets



Gasket Options

Hybrid Gasket passed hydro-test.

- Hybrid gasket does not seep water during hydro-test ramp up
- Additional torquing is not required during hydro-test
- Any rotation of flanges do not cause unloading of the gasket as the inner gasket acts as compression stop



Cost of the hydro test failure

Maintenance cost to change the gasket that failed hydro test

• \$2,000,000

Lost production due to extended shut down time to replace gaskets

• \$750,000

Total of

• \$2,750,000

Other options

Problems with the Kamprofile gaskets used in Reactors of a prominent Refinery at 770 F

Flanges: 24", Class 1500 with dissimilar materials,
 Radial Shear, Cycling, possibility of flange Rotation
 Calculated radial shear: .020" (.010" allowable)

✓ Solution:

A Hybrid gasket using Change inner and SWG with HT inconel X750 winding outer gasket

The more rigid inner Change gasket acts as compression stop for the outer SWG with H T inconel X750

The outer ring is thin so it does not create flange rotation causing any unloading of the gaskets

Both the Change and the SWG are suitable for Radial Shear inherent in this application







Other options







Bottom Unheading Valve- Coker



- Relatively new drums (6 each)
- Original gasket type Corrugated Metal Gasket
- Gaskets were deformed badly, and blew out in short time
- Gasket was upgraded to Grooved metal "Kammprofile"
- Kammprofile last a little longer, but deformed after a while as well
- No room for a thick Carrier Ring type we had success with in the past
- Use of tabs with holes or full-face not possible due to space limitations (not all bolts could be removed)
- Severe constant thermal cycles simply would ruin the gaskets in time possibly due to the extremely high radial forces
- They did an FEA thermal analysis showing hot spots and cold spots causing the gasket to be pulled inward
- We used Shear Plates strong enough to be higher than the friction forces pulling the gasket



















Image 312 - Gripper Marking on groove tips - Left Side (Image 2) Magnified



Img 313 - Opposite Side, portion inboard of raised face sticking into bore, opposite face from heavy carbon deposit.

✓ Solution:

Use of a GMGC gasket with Inconel 625, and FG facing

Special shear plates were welded to outer edge of the GMCG gasket all around

The size of the shear plates and weld strength were tested to make sure the strength of the welds

The failure mode will not be the weld breakage

The shear plates keep the gasket attached to the joint raised face as they seat between the outer edge of the raised face, and inside the bolts.

No news since the assembly in 2015 which means good news



Questions?